UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,394	01/25/2005	Yoshiharu Sato	10921.0272USWO	6311
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			OLSEN, KAJ K	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment to claim 1 renders claim 1 allowable. Claims 2 and 4-9 depend from claim 1 and are also hereby allowable. Claims 10-12 remain allowable and claims 17-19 remain objected to for the reasons set forth in the previous office actions. Claims 13, 14, and 16 remain rejected for the reasons set forth for claims 13-16 in the 8/11/2008 final rejection.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's urges that WO '705 fails to anticipate the amended claim 13 because the first and second responses of WO '705 utilize the same combination of electrodes. It appears that applicant has misunderstood the rejection and is traversing a rejection the examiner never made. In particular, it appears that applicant is reading col. 14, ll. 21-38 of Miyazaki as reading on the first response of the claims and this response would not utilize different electrodes than the second response. However, if applicant reviews the 8/11/2008 final rejection, the examiner was not reading this measurement at col. 14, ll. 21-38 of Miyazaki on applicant's first response, but rather the examiner was relying on step 8 of fig. 5 and col. 9, ll. 18-45 as reading on the first response (par. 4 of the final rejection). This response relies on electrodes A and F (see fig. 5). The second response of WO '705 (e.g. steps 14-17 in fig. 6) relies on electrodes A and C. Electrode C (i.e. electrode 39 of fig. 2) is an electrode that is not utilized for the first response (which utilized electrodes A and F) and is further downstream than either of the electrodes utilized for the first response. Hence, applicant has misinterpreted the examiner's rejection and has incorrectly come to the conclusion that the examiner's rejection was in error.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,394 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to KAJ K. OLSEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1344. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kaj K Olsen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

November 18, 2008